

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,460	07/03/2003	Phillip Johnson	1054.023	6552	
22186	7590 . 11/21/2005		EXAMINER		
MENDELSOHN AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.			CHANG, JOSEPH		
	OHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUTIE 405 DELPHIA, PA 19102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2817		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,460	JOHNSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph Chang	2817
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11-15 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the one Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-9, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran, US Patent No. 6373342 for reasons of record.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran in view of Vikinski for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant comments directed to the rejection of claims 1-9, 16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran, Applicant argues "Tran does not teach or even suggest circuitry that provides the function explicitly recited in claim 1. In particular, the circuitry taught in Tran does not substantially shield delay stages from noise in a power supply connected to switch-control load circuitry". These arguments are not persuasive because Figures 4 and 9 clearly show all the structure limitations, and the functional limitation is inherently present in the structure. Applicant's argument has no persuasive reasoning why the functional limitation is inherently not present in the structure. Regarding claims 3 and 7, Applicant argues "Tran does not teach switch-controlled load circuitry that selectively applies a load to the corresponding delay stage

Art Unit: 2817

output". This argument is not persuasive because Fig. 4 shows all the structure limitation as recited in the claim when the capacitor 22 is considered as a load as stated in the previous Office Action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-21 are allowed.

Claims 11-15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,460 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2817